UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION

JUN 1 2 2014

THOMAS JENSEN, CIV 13-4116-RAL

Plaintiff,

ORDER AND JUDGMENT VS.

DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE

MINNEHAHA COUNTY JAIL

Defendant.

On October 16, 2013, the Clerk of Court for the District of South Dakota filed a letter that Thomas Jensen wrote to the Honorable Lawrence L. Piersol as a "complaint." Doc. 1. Jensen's letter stated that he had been housed at the Minnehaha County Jail as a federal inmate for over a month, had been taken off of medication that he felt he needed, and did not know what rights he had. Doc. 1.

At the time Jensen wrote the letter, Judge Piersol had pending in front of him petitions to revoke supervised release of Jensen in two different cases. See United States v. Thomas Jensen, 03-CR-50086-LLP, Doc. 141; United States v. Thomas Jensen, 08-CR-50031-LLP, Doc. 314. Judge Piersol signed separate judgments on November 27, 2013, imposing consecutive sentences of 12 months of custody with no supervised release to follow in United States v. Thomas Jensen, 03-CR-50086-LLP, Doc. 165, and 24 months of custody in <u>United States v.</u> Thomas Jensen, 08-CR-80031-LLP, Doc. 336.

Upon filing Jensen's letter as a "complaint," the Clerk of Court on October 17, 2013, sent to Jensen a letter advising Jensen of the \$350.00 filing fee for a civil action and the option of applying for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The Clerk of Court also sent to Jensen the forms for a prisoner civil rights complaint.

In the approximately eight months since Jensen was sent that letter, Jensen has not

completed a prison civil rights complaint form, has not sought to proceed in forma pauperis, has

not made any payment toward the filing fee, and has not indicated in any way an interest in

proceeding with any complaint in this matter. Rather, Jensen now is in federal custody and has

filed appeals of his sentences on revocation of supervised release with the United States Court

of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. Indeed, Jensen's initial letter was well short of stating a claim

against any person or entity. Therefore, for good cause, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, to the extent it was a "complaint," the

complaint in this case is dismissed without prejudice to any later refiling. It is further

ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that judgment enters under Rules 54 and 58

of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated June **12**, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

ROBERTO A. LANGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE